## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 SALT T 00118 01 OF 02 221843Z

41

**ACTION SS-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00

ACDE-00 /026 W

----- 055388

P R 221800Z APR 76 FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3037 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW USMISSION NATO

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 2 SALT TWO GENEVA 0118

EXDIS/SALT

DEPT ALSO PASS DOD

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1 TAGS: PARM SUBJECT: DEPUTY MINISTER SEMENOV'S STATEMENT OF APRIL 22, 1976 (SALT TWO - 984)

THE FOLLOWING IS STATEMENT DELIVERED BY DEPUTY MINISTER SEMENOV AT THE SALT TWO MEETING OF APRIL 22, 1976.

SEMENOV STATEMENT, APRIL 22, 1976

I

IN THE COURSE OF THE ONGOING NEGOTIATIONS, THE QUESTIONS INVOLVED IN ARTICLE XVI--ON VERIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW AGREEMENT BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS--HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED IN DETAIL. THE FACT THAT COMPLIANCE WITH THE OBLIGATIONS BEING ASSUMED BY THE SIDES WILL BE VERIFIED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS CONSTITUTES ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT PILLARS OF THE NEW AGREEMENT WHICH MUST EMBODY THE SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 00118 01 OF 02 221843Z

UNDERSTANDING REACHED BETWEEN OUR TWO STATES IN

VLADIVOSTOK. MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING ON THIS SCORE IS REFLECTED IN A CLEAR-CUT MANNER IN THE AGREED PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE XVI OF THE JOINT DRAFT, WHICH ESTABLISH, IN PARTICULAR, THAT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING ASSURANCE OF COMPLIEANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE AGREEMENT, EACH SIDE SHALL USE NATIONAL TECHNICAL MENS OF VERIFICA-TION AT ITS DISPOSAL IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH GENERALLY RECOGNIZED PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, AND THAT EACH SIE UNDERTAKES NOT TO INTERFERE WITH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION OF THE OTHER SIDE, OPERATING IN ACCORDANCE WITH PAR. 1 OF THIS ARTICLE. IN ARTICLE XVI ONLY A PART OF PAR. 3 STILL REMAINS UNAGREED. IN THIS CONNECTION, THE QUESTION WAS DISCUSSED CONCERNING THE OBLIGATION OF THE SIDES, CONTAINED IN PAR. 3 OF THAT ARTICLE, NOT TO USE DELIBERATE CONCEAL-MENT MEASURES WHICH IMPEDE VERIFICATION BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW AGREEMENT.

IN THE INTERESTS OF REACHING AGREEMENT ON
ARTICLE XVI, PAR. 3, ON A MUTUALLY ACCEPTABLE BASIS,
AT THE FEBRUARY 3, 1976 MEETING THE USSR DELEGATION,
TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE CONSIDERATIONS EXPRESSED IN
THE COURSE OF THE NEGOTIATIONS, SET FORTH A NEW
CONSTRUCTIVE PROPOSAL WITH RESPECT TO THE LANGUAGE
CONCERNING DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT MEASURES AND EXPRESSED
ITS CONSENT TO HAVE IT ADOPTED AS AN AGREED STATEMENT
OF THE SIDES.

AT THE FEBRUARY 18 AND MARCH 10, 1976 MEETINGS THE USSR DELEGATION CITED DETAILED CONSIDERATIONS IN SUPPORT AND EXPLANATION OF ITS PROPOSAL. WE SHOWED IN A WELL-REASONED MANNER THAT THE PROPOSAL TABLED BY THE SOVIET SIDE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OBLICATION NOT TO USE DELIBERATE CONCEALMENT MEASURES, AND THE OBLIGATION NOT TO INTERFERE WITH THE NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION OF THE OTHER SIDE, PROVIDES THE NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESSFUL OPERATION OF THESE MEANS, AS PROVIDED FOR IN ARTICLE XVI, AND WOULD CONTRIBUTE TO PROVIDING THE SIDES WITH CONFIDENCE THAT THE PROVISIONS OF THE NEW AGREEMENT ARE BEING COMPLIED WITH IN ALL THEIR TOTALITY.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 SALT T 00118 01 OF 02 221843Z

COMPLETING AGREEMENT ON ARTICLE XVI, PAR. 3, IN FULL ACCORD WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF VERIFICATION BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS, ADOPTED BY BOTH SIDES, WOULD BE OF GREAT IMPORTANCE IN TERMS OFMOVING OUR WORK FORWARD.

Π

MR. AMBASSADOR,

IN THE COURSE OF THE ONGOING NEGOTIATIONS IT BECAME APPARENT THAT THE SIDES WERE CLOSE TOGETHER ON THE QUESTION OF WORKING OUT THE DEFINITION OF LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS AND THE RELATED PROVISIONS OF THE DRAFT. THE U.S. DELEGATION'S APRIL 14, 1976 STATEMENT REFERRED TO THE QUESTION OF DETERMINING WHICH MISSILE LAUNCHERS ARE ICBM LAUNCHERS.

IN THIS CONNECTION, I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE SIDES, RELYING ON DATA OBTAINED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEASN OF VERIFICATION, ALREADY HAVE A CLEAR-CUT UNDERSTANDING OF WHICH MISSILE LAUNCHERS ARE ICBM LAUNCHERS. THE EXISTING CERTAINTY IN THIS RESPECT IS NOT OF AN ABSTRACT, THEORETICAL NATURE; IT HAS BEEN CONFIRMED BY ALL OF THE PRACTICAL ACTIVITIES INVOLVED IN IMPLEMENTING AND VERIFING COMPLIANCE WITH THE LIMITA-TIONS PROVIDED FOR BY THE INTERIM AGREEMENT IN FORCE. THEREFORE, IT IS QUTE NATURAL THAT IN THE NEW AGREEMENT BEING WORKED OUT USE WOULD BE MADE OF THE ICBM LAUNCHER DEFINITION WORKED OUT IN CONNECTION WITH THE INTERIM AGREEMENT, IN ADDITION SPECIFYING ICBM RANGE IN KILO-METERS. THIS ADEQUATELY AND QUITE UNAMBIGOUSLY REFLECTS THE IDENTICA UNDERSTANDING OF THE SIDES REGARDING WHICH MISSILE LAUNCHERS ARE LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS. AS WE UNDERSTAND IT, AT PRESENT THERE ARE NO DISAGREEMENTS IN PRINCIPLE BETWEEN THE SIDES REGARD-ING PRECISELY SUCH A FORMULATION FOR ARTICLE II. PAR. 1. WE ALSO NOTE THE CONSIDERATION EXPRESSED BY THE U.S. DELEGATION AT THE APRIL 14, 1976 MEETING TO THE EFFECT THAT BOTH SIDES AGREE THAT ICBM LAUNCHERS WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THENEW AGREEMENT, AND THAT LAND-BASED LAUNCHERS OF BALLISTIC MISSILES OF LESS THAN ICBM RANGE WILL NOT.

A CERTAIN PROXIMITY OF THE POSITIONS OF THE SIDES SECRET

**SECRET** 

PAGE 04 SALT T 00118 01 OF 02 221843Z

HAS ALSO EMERGED ON THE QUESTION OF THE OBLIGATION OF THE SIDES, TO BE INCORPORATED IN ARTICLE IV, NOT TO CONVERT MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE NOT ICBM LAUNCHERS INTO LAUNCHERS FOR LAUNCHING ICBMS.

AT THE SAME TIME, DIFFERENCES STILL REMAIN ON A NUMBER OF ASPECTS, AS CAN BE SEEN FROM THE U.S. DELEGATION'S APRIL 14, 1976 STATEMENT.

IN THIS CONNECTION, I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS SOME ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS IN SUPPORT OF THE SOVIET SIDE'S APPROACH TO THIS RANGE OF QUESTIONS.

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 SALT T 00118 02 OF 02 221843Z

42

**ACTION SS-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 DODE-00 CIAE-00 INRE-00

ACDE-00 /026 W

----- 055390

P R 221800Z APR 76 FM USDEL SALT TWO GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3038 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW USMISSION NATO

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 SALT TWO GENEVA 118

EXDIS/SALT

DEPT ALSO PASS DOD

SPECAT EXCLUSIVE FOR SECDEF

TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE CONSIDERATIONS SET FORTH BY THE U.S. DELEGATION CONCERNING THE POSSIBILITY OF ADOPTING THE SOVIET APPROACH TO ARTICLE II, PAR. 1, AND TO THE RELATED PROVISION ON NON-CONVERSION, TO BE LUDED IN ARTICLE IV, AT THE MARCH 23, 1976 MEETING THE USSR DELEGATION STATED THAT IS WAS READY TO PROCEED FROM THE PREMISE THAT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE DEFINITION BEING WORKED OUT, ICBM LAUNCHERS WOULD CONSIST OF MISSILE LAUNCHERS SPECIALLY DEVELOPED AND DEPLOYED FOR LAUNCHING LAND-BASED ICBMS.

IN OUR APRIL 7, 1976 STATEMENT WE EXPLAINED THAT TO UNDERSTAND ICBM LAUNCHERS AS BEING LAUNCHERS SPECIALLY DEVELOPED AND DEPLOYED FOR LAUNCHING LAND-BASEC ICBMS MEANS THAT THE SIDES CAN ONLY HAVE ICBM LAUNCHERS WHICH HAVE BEEN SPECIALLY DEVELOPED, AND CONSEQUENTLY TESTED, AND DEPLOYED FOR LAUNCHING PRECISELY ICBMS.

AS FOR HOW A DISTINCTION WOULD BE MADE BETWEEN THOSE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE ICBM LAUNCHERS AND THOSE WHICH ARE NOT, THE APPROACH PROPOSED BY THE USSR DELEGATION MAKES IT POSSIBLE TO DRAW SUCH A DISTINCTION IN A SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 SALT T 00118 02 OF 02 221843Z

QUITE DEFINITE AND CLEAR-CUT MANNER BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS OF VERIFICATION.

THE U.S. DELEGATION'S APRIL 14, 1976 STATEMENT
NOTED THAT FEW OF THE DEPLOYED ICBM LAUNCHERS HAVE
EVER LAUNCHED AN ICBM. IN THIS CONNECTION, IT SHOULD
BE NOTED THAT IT DOES NOT FOLLOW FROM THE SOVIET
APPROACH TO THE DEFINITION OF ICBM LAUNCHERS THAT
ICBM LAUNCHERS SHOULD ONLY CONSIST OF THOSE SPECIFIC
DEPLOYED ICBM LAUNCHERS WHICH HAVE LAUNCHED LAND-BASED
ICBMS. IT IS A MATTER OF COUNTING WITHIN THE MAXIMUM
OVERALL 2,400 LEVEL BEING ESTABLISHED ALL THOSE ICBM
LAUNCHERS WHICH HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN SPECIALLY DEVELOPED
AND DEPLOYED FOR LAUNCHING LAND-BASED ICBMS.

AT THE APRIL 14, 1976 MEETING THE U.S. DELEGATION AGAIN RAISED THE QUESTION OF BALLISTIC MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH SUPPOSEDLY "ARE ABLE TO LAUNCH BOTH ICBMS AND MISSILES OF LESS THAN ICBM RANGE."

THE USSR DELEGATION HAS ALREADY EXPRESSED ITS CONSIDERATIONS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF JEDGEMENTS OF THAT KIND. IN THIS CONNECTION, I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHSIIZE THE FOLLOWING.

ASSUMING THAT IT IS POSSIBLE TO CONVERT BALLISTIC MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE NOT ICBM LAUNCHERS INTO LAUNCHERS FOR LAUNCHING LAND-BASED ICBMS, THEN SUCH CONVERSION WOULD BE BANNED UNDER THE NEW AGREEMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OBLIGATION. TO BE INCLUDED IN ARTICLE IV OF THE DRAFT, NOT TO CONVERT MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE NOT ICBM LAUNCHERS INTO LAUNCHERS FOR LAUNCHING ICBMS. MOREOVER, THIS OBLIGATION WOULD APPLY EQUALLY TO EXISTING MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE NOT ICBM LAUNCHERS. AS WELL AS TO LAUNCHERS OF THAT KIND WHICH MIGHT APPEAR IN THE FUTURE. THE BAN ON CONVERTING MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE NOT ICBM LAUNCHERS INTO LAUNCHERS FOR LAUNCHING ICBMS. TO BE ESTABLISHED BY THIS OBLIGATION. WOULD BE FULLY VERIFIABLE BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS, AND THIS WOULD PROVIDE THE SIDES WITH CONFIDENCE THAT THE LIMITA-TIONS ON LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS CANNOT BE CIRUMVENTED THROUGH WUCH CONVERSATION.

AS FOR VARIOUS KINDS OF HYPOTHETICAL SITUATIONS INVOLVING THE USE OF BALLISTIC MISSILE LAUNCHERS WHICH ARE NOT ICBM LAUNCHERS FOR LAUNCHING ICBMS, AS REFERRED SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 SALT T 00118 02 OF 02 221843Z

TO IN THE U.S. DELEGATION'S APRIL 14, 1976 STATEMENT,
THEY ARE BEYOND THE REALM OF WHAT IS REALISTICALLY
CONCEIVABLE. UNDER THE APPROACH OF THE SOVIET SIDE THERE
COULD NOT BEANY LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS WHICH WERE NOT
SPECIALLY DEVELOPED AND DEPLOYED FOR LAUNCHING ICBMS.
THIS MEANS THAT IF LAUNCHERS ARE DEVELOPED AND DEPLOYED

FOR LAUNCHING ICBMS, THEY ARE INCLUDED IN THE AGGREGATE NUMBER BEING ESTABLISHED WHICH IS REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE III, PAR. 1.

SUCH ARE THE CONSIDERATIONS WHICH THE USSR DELEGATION BELIEVED NECESSARY TO TOUCH UPON TODAY IN CONNECTION WITH WORKING OUT A DEFINITION FOR LAND-BASED ICBM LAUNCHERS AND THE RELATED PROVISIONS OF THE DRAFT, AND IN PARTICULAR, IN CONNECTION WITH WHAT WAS SAID BY THE U.S. DELEGATION AT THE APRIL 14, 1976 MEETING. JOHNSON

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: TEXT, AGREEMENT DRAFT, SPEECHES, NEGOTIATIONS, SALT (ARMS CONTROL), MIRV

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 22 APR 1976 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976SALTT00118

Document Number: 1976SALTT00118
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a **Executive Order:** X1

Errors: N/A Film Number: D760153-0101 From: SALT TALKS Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760433/aaaabbzc.tel Line Count: 286

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION SS

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET **Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS** Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 05 MAY 2004

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <05 MAY 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <02 SEP 2004 by ShawDG>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** 

Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: DEPUTY MINISTER SEMENOV'S STATEMENT OF APRIL 22, 1976 (SALT TWO - 984)

TAGS: PARM, UR, (SEMENOV)
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006